





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,976	10/14/2003	Ismael A. Hemandez	9325-73 (179904)	7120
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Thomas J. Durling DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP One Logan Square			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18th & Cherry	Streets	3654		
Philadelphia, I	PA 19103-6996		DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	$\iota > \!\!\!\! \vee$					
0 /		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
(V	Office Action Summer.	10/685,976	HERNANDEZ ET AL.			
/	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Scott Haugland	3654			
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	•	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453-O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or exion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 01 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
2)	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-49, drawn to a yarn carrier or yarn catch insert, classified in class
 242, subclass 476.6.
- II. Claims 50 and 51, drawn to an apparatus for forming a hole in a hollow cylindrical tube, classified in class 493, subclass 340.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus can be used for making a different product such as perforated tube without a yarn catch insert and the product can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as a rotating or reciprocating toothed cutter (e.g., saw) that forms the hole by cutting along the perimeter of the portion of the tube where the hole is to be located.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the invention of claims 1-49:

- I. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Figs. 1-3,
- II. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Figs. 4-6 and 11-13,
- III. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Figs. 7-10,
- IV. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Figs. 14-18, 19A, and 19B,
- V. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Figs. 20-24,
- VI. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Figs. 27 and 28, and
- VII. The yarn catch/yarn carrier of Fig. 29.

Upon election of the invention of group I, claims 1-49, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single one of the species listed above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 3654

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Thomas Durling on 2/23/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/685,976

Art Unit: 3654

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Haugland whose telephone number is (703) 305-6498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Kathy Matecki